

Case report **Gingival health in relation to clinical crown length: a case report** Alf Volchansky¹* and Peter Cleaton-Jones²

Addresses: ¹Department of Experimental Odontology and Orthodontics, School of Oral Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, 2050 Wits, South Africa

²Department of Maxillofacial and Oral Surgery, School of Clinical Medicine, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, 2050 Wits, South Africa

Email: AV* - volchans@iafrica.com; PCJ - peter.cleaton-jones@wits.ac.za

* Corresponding author

Received: 5 August 2009 Accepted: 18 August 2009 Published: 17 September 2009

Cases Journal 2009, 2:7608 doi: 10.4076/1757-1626-2-7608

This article is available from: http://casesjournal.com/casesjournal/article/view/7608

© 2009 Volchansky and Cleaton-Jones; licensee Cases Network Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Introduction: Gingival margin position in relation to synthetic crowns and crown length could be etiological factors in gingival health.

Case presentation: A 27-year-old male presented with necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis with short clinical crowns suggestive of altered passive eruption. Three years after the initial diagnosis, he presented with crowns on the maxillary incisors. There were short clinical crowns and marked gingival inflammation.

Conclusion: Placement of the crown margin could be an etiological factor in gingival inflammation. Therefore, should the margin be subgingival, equigingival or supragingival?

Case presentation

A 27-year-old white South African male presented at a periodontal practice complaining of painful "gums" particularly in the anterior part of the mouth, and halitosis. His general health was satisfactory; he was a non-smoker and other than grinding his teeth was not aware of any etiological factors, such as mouth breathing, that could have contributed to his problems.

After examination a diagnosis of necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis (NUG) was made, based on the classical punched out papillae, combined with the patient's symptoms of pain and halitosis.

The clinical picture was, however, more complex. In addition to the classical punched out papillae seen with NUG, there was inflammatory gingival hyperplasia, and marked occlusal wear (probably due to his grinding habit), and short clinical crowns, all of which, in the presence of plaque could contribute to the gingival inflammation (Figure 1).

An intra-oral radiograph (Figure 2) showed that the incisor teeth were not fully erupted. While the short clinical crowns could be due to occlusal wear or delayed tooth eruption, another possibility was that altered passive eruption (APE) was present, which is a predisposing factor



Figure 1. Clinical photograph of the anterior gingiva of a 27-year-old male showing necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis (NUG).

to NUG [1]. Metronidazole 200mg tablets three times a day were prescribed at the first visit and combined with palliative treatment, consisting of scaling, home care instruction and a mouthwash.

One week later, there was some resolution of the gingival inflammation (Figure 3). Possible gingival and periodontal surgical correction of the apparent gingival overgrowth was discussed with the patient who chose not to have this treatment. Thereafter he was seen intermittently; three years after the initial consultation he presented with synthetic crowns on the maxillary incisors, placed there by another practitioner. The associated gingivae were red, shiny with rolled margins and marked inflammation (Figure 4). Clinical examination also showed the presence of APE which we have defined as "when a tooth has reached the occlusal plane and the gingival margin in the mid-line of the tooth is at the junction between the cervical and middle third of the clinical crown; or in the middle third or coronal third of the clinical crown in the absence of inflammation, hypertrophy or hyperplasia of the gingiva" [2]. A periodontal probe showed clinical crown length (Figure 4) and an intra-oral radiograph (Figure 5) confirmed, that the crowns were not placed at or adjacent to the cemento-enamel junction (CEJ), but markedly coronal to it, as one would expect if APE is not recognized.

The synthetic crown margins were just apical to the gingival margin, a long way from the CEJ, on the convex facial surface of the clinical crowns, in a position conducive to trauma from "food impaction" and the accumulation of plaque, contributing to chronic inflammation [3].



Figure 2. Intra-oral radiograph of the maxillary incisors at time of NUG diagnosis. The angle of the x-ray has shortened the roots.



Figure 3. Clinical photograph of the anterior gingiva after palliative periodontal treatment.




Figure 4. Clinical photograph showing synthetic crowns and a periodontal probe indicating clinical crown length.




Figure 5. Intra-oral radiograph indicating the crown margins in relation to the cemento-enamel junction.

Discussion

Synthetic crown margin placement, clinical crown size/length, crown contour and biological width are important etiological factors in gingival and periodontal health.

Many years ago, a clinician had three options for crown margin placement; it could be supragingival, equigingival or subgingival [4]. Newcomb [5] indicated that subgingival margins were associated with plaque accumulation and gingival inflammation. Twenty years later, Sorensen [6] stated that subgingival margins greatly increase the frequency of periodontal disease, and that surface roughness, marginal fit and crown contour, mediate plaque accumulation and influence gingival health. This has also been reported for posterior crowns where bleeding was greater with sub-gingival crown placement [7].

Esthetics versus health is also a consideration [8] since subgingival finish lines are not periodontally advantageous, although they are required in certain situations. Currently, new materials, as described by Kancyper and Koka [9], and restorations may be finished easily to provide a smooth, polished interface at the gingival margin, so that plaque accumulation may be less [10].

There is more concern now about the impingement of the biologic width [11,12] which is the physiological dimension of the junctional epithelium and connective tissue attachment, also described as the combined connective tissue – epithelial attachment from the crest of the alveolar bone to the base of the gingival sulcus. There is a view that gingival inflammation is influenced by clinical crown size and the pseudo pocket of APE [13].

Spear and Coonen [9] described a patient with a short clinical crown having an altered eruptive pattern and a sulcus depth of more than 3 mm. In such an instance a clinician must evaluate if a gingivectomy could be performed to lengthen the teeth and create a 1.5 mm sulcus.

As with coronally placed gingival margins the facial and lingual enamel bulges (crown contour) of human teeth protect the free gingival margin from the trauma of occlusion by deflecting food over the gingival crevice and onto keratinized gingival tissue [14,15].

Conclusion

Even with new technology the position of a synthetic crown is crucial to gingival health. Therefore, is it not time to revisit the questions?

- should the crown margin be subgingival, equigingival or supragingival?
- how significant is clinical crown length and contour?
- is the biological width sacrosanct?

References

- Volchansky A, Cleaton-Jones P: Delayed passive eruption: A predisposing factor to Vincent infection? J Dent Ass S Afr 1974, 30:727-730.
- Volchansky A, Cleaton-Jones P: Clinical definition for altered passive eruption. Brit Dent J 1979, 147:292.
- 3. Volchansky A, Walker C, Cleaton-Jones P, Socransky SS: Gingival fluid volume and microbiological investigation of the gingival margin at normal sites and sites with altered passive eruption. J Dent Res 1978, 58:69.
- Malone WFP, Cavazos E Jr: Biomechanics of tooth preparation. In Tylman's Theory and practice of crown and bridge prosthodontics. 8th edition. Edited by Malone WFP, Koth DL. St Louis: Mosby; 1989:113-143.
- Newcomb GM: The relationship between the location of subgingival crown margins and gingival inflammation. J Periodontol 1974, 45:151-154.
- Sorensen JA: A rationale for comparison of plaque-retaining properties of crown systems. J Prosthet Dent 1989, 62:264-269.
- Reitemeier B, Hansel K, Walter MH, Kastner C, Toutenburg H: Effect of posterior crown placement on gingival health. J Prosthet Dent 2002, 87:167-172.
- 8. Goodacre CJ: Gingival esthetics. J Prosthet Dent 1990, 64:1-12.
- Spear FM, Cooney JP: Restorative interrelationships. In Carranza's Clinical Periodontology. 10th edition. Edited by Newman M. St Louis Mo: Saunders Elsevier; 2006:1050-1069.
- Kancyper SG, Koka S: The influence of intracrevicular crown margins on gingival health: preliminary findings. J Prosthet 2001, 89:461-465.
- 11. Parma-Benfenati S, Fugazzoto PA, Ruben MP: The effect of restorative margins on the postsurgical development and nature of the periodontium. Part 1. Int J Periodont Restor Dent 1985, 6:31.
- Mynard JG, Wilson RDK: Physiological dimensions of the periodontium significant to the restorative dentist. J Periodontol 1979, 50:170.
- Dolt AH, Robbins WJ: Altered passive eruption: An etiology of short clinical crowns. Quintessence Int 1997, 28:363-372.
 Youdelis RA, Weaver JD, Sapkos S: Facial and lingual contours of
- Youdelis RA, Weaver JD, Sapkos S: Facial and lingual contours of artificial complete crown restorations and their effect on the periodontium. J Prosthet Dent 1973, 29:61.
- 15. Hochman N, Yaffe A, Ehrlich J: Crown contour variation in gingival health. Compend Cont Educ Dent 1983, 4:360.

Do you have a case to share?

Submit your case report today

- Rapid peer review
- Fast publication
- PubMed indexing
- Inclusion in Cases Database

Any patient, any case, can teach us something

